1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 5 PLAINTIFF CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 13-00243 6 VS. 7 SEAN TIERNAN, 8 DEFENDANT 9 10 PROCEEDINGS 11 Transcript of HEARING ON SENTENCING, commencing on MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2017, 10:30 A.M., in the United States District Court, Seventh Floor, Joseph F. Weis, Jr., United 12 States Courthouse, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before the HONORABLE ARTHUR J. SCHWAB, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 JUDGE. 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 For the Government: By: James T. Kitchen, Esquire Assistant U.S. Attorney Office of the United States Attorney 17 Fourth Floor, U.S. Courthouse 18 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 For the Defendant: By: Carolyn F. McNiven, Esquire 19 Greenberg Traurig 20 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 21 (Defendant present with counsel.) Sandra Wenger Goffe, FCRR, RMR 2.2 Reported by: Official Court Reporter 23 Fifth Floor, U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.261.6254 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript 25 produced by computer-aided transcription.

MONDAY MORNING SESSION, OCTOBER 30, 2017, 10:30 A.M. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (Whereupon, the following was had in open Court.) 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. This is the time and 6 place set for sentencing in Criminal No. 13-00243-01. 7 Ask counsel for the government to enter your appearance, please. 8 9 MR. KITCHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Jimmy 10 Kitchen, Assistant United States Attorney, for the government. 11 THE COURT: Welcome. 12 On behalf defendant? 13 MS. McNIVEN: Good morning. Carolyn McNiven, on behalf of Sean Tiernan, who is present here in Court. 14 15 THE COURT: Welcome. 16 Ask the defendant to stand and be sworn, please. 17 MS. KRINGS: Please raise your right hand? 18 SEAN TIERNAN, DEFENDANT, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 MS. KRINGS: You may be seated. 21 THE COURT: Do you understand that having been sworn 22 your answers to my questions are subject to the penalties of 23 perjury or of making a false declaration if you do not answer 24 truthfully? 25 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: You want to pull the microphone in front of you, please?

THE DEFENDANT: (Indicating.)

THE COURT: The Court notes, for the record, that on November 5, 2013, pursuant to a plea agreement, defendant entered a plea of guilty to Count One, a one-count information, charging the defendant with violation of the CAN-SPAM Act, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1037(a)(1), 1037(b)(2)(A) and 2.

Following your guilty plea, the Court directed the Probation Office to prepare a presentence investigation report and scheduled a sentencing hearing that was continued several times until today.

The Court has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report and addendum thereto prepared by the United States Probation Office. The Court has also reviewed the government's position with respect to the presentence investigation report, indicating it has no objection to said report, and defendant's position, indicating two objections to material issues, but later withdrew those two objections, and four additional non-material miscellaneous objections that do not affect the calculation of the Guideline range.

The Court has also reviewed the sentencing memoranda filed by the parties.

And, finally, the Court has reviewed the sentencing

recommendation prepared by the Probation Office.

2.2

Appreciate if counsel and defendant would meet me at side-bar for a moment, please?

* * * * * * *

(Whereupon, the following side-bar conference was had and placed under seal.)

* * * * * * *

(Whereupon, the side-bar conference was concluded, placed under seal, and the following was had in open Court.)

THE COURT: On November 5, 2013, defendant entered a plea of guilty to a one-count information charging you with violations of the CAN-SPAM Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1037(a)(1), 1037(b)(2)(A) and 2. Correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MS. McNIVEN: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I've reviewed the presentence investigation report and addendum and the sentencing recommendation of the Probation Office. Pursuant to the standing order of the Board of Judges of this district, the Court finds it's not appropriate to disclose the recommendation of the Probation Office to the parties, nor to counsel. However, sir, in determining your sentence, the Court will not consider any matter that's not previously been disclosed to you or your attorney.

Counsel, have you reviewed the sentencing

investigation report, addendum thereto, and discussed them with your clients?

MS. McNIVEN: I have, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Are there any errors in the presentence investigation report or addendum that you have not previously called to the Court's attention?

MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, have you reviewed the presentence investigation report, addendum, and other matters, and discussed them with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, is there any legal cause why sentence should not be pronounced?

MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else that you would like to add at this point or the defendant would like to add, now would be the appropriate time, please.

You can just lift, you can stay seated, but just lift the microphone up so you don't have to be uncomfortable.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to apologize for being here. I know what I did was wrong and I'm very sorry for it. I've done everything I can think of to make up for it in the eyes of the law and become a better person. And I wanted to commit to you today that yourself, nor any other judge, will never see me again in the

courtroom. Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied with your attorney's advice and representation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Anything she's done you think she should not have done?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Anything you have asked her to do that she hasn't done?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Ma'am, anything you would like to add?

MS. McNIVEN: Your Honor, if I may, briefly?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MS. McNIVEN: I have been working with Mr. Tiernan now since 2012. I can sincerely say he's like my third son at this point. We've gone through a lot together, and I'm so incredibly proud by all that he has achieved in his life since 2012, overcoming some personal obstacles, graduating with a great degree from a great university, and going on to a stellar career where he has really matured as a person and as a worker.

He has committed to doing right and has shown his life to do that. And I think the quality of the person is shown in the letters that were written to Your Honor and his own personal statement accepting responsibility. It's a

privilege to represent him. Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. On behalf the government? 3 4 MR. KITCHEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 Your Honor, I think, as the PSR indicates, the 6 defendant here today before you should be seen as a very 7 intelligent, in fact, brilliant individual. Over the last 8 four years, I think Mr. Tiernan has matured. I think that his, I think that his educational history, as well as his work 9 10 history, I think should be of note to this Court. I think 11 Mr. Tiernan is in a different place than where he was when the 12 government came to his house. 13 So, I think the Court should take that into account, as well as the other facts that the government has set forth 14 15 before this Court when determining this defendant's 16 sentencing. 17 THE COURT: Any objection to my talking to the 18 probation officer for a minute? 19 MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor. 20 MR. KITCHEN: No, Your Honor. 21 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.) 22 THE COURT: Anything else, on behalf the government? 23 MR. KITCHEN: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Defendant? Anything else, ma'am? 25 MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of Court that the defendant be sentenced to twenty-four months of probation. Defendant shall report in person to the Probation Office in the district to which he resides to be placed on probation.

While on probation, defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime, shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court, and shall comply with the following additional conditions.

One, defendant shall not possess a controlled substance. Probation must be revoked for possession of a controlled substance.

Two, defendant shall not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon. Probation must be revoked for possession of a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon.

Three, the defendant shall participate in an alcohol after care program, approved by the probation officer, which may include urine testing, until released from the program by the probation officer and/or the Court.

Defendant shall not use or possess alcohol.

Defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services in an amount determined by the Probation Office, but not to exceed actual costs.

Four, defendant shall participate in a mental health

2.2

assessment and/or treatment program, approved by the probation officer, until such time as defendant is released from the program by the Court and/or the probation officer.

Defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services in an amount to be determined by the Probation

Office, but not to exceed actual costs. The Probation Office is authorized to release defendant's presentence investigation report to any treatment provider, if so requested.

Five, defendant is permitted to possess and use a computer and is allowed to access to the Internet. However, defendant shall consent to periodic unannounced examination by the probation officer of any computer, cell phone, or other electronic communication, or data storage devices, to which the defendant has access in order to confirm the defendant's compliance with this condition.

Additionally, defendant shall consent to the seizure and removal of hardware or data storage media for further analysis by his probation officer, based upon a reasonable suspicion of a violation of conditions imposed under this case or based upon a reasonable suspicion of unlawful conduct by defendant.

The defendant's failure to submit to the monitoring and/or search of computer or other electronic communication or data storage devices used by defendant may be grounds for revocation.

2.2

Sixth, if the defendant's employment requires the use of a computer, defendant may use the computer in connection with employment approved by the probation officer provided defendant notifies his employer of the nature of his conviction.

The probation officer shall confirm the defendant's compliance with this notification requirement.

Defendant shall provide the United States Probation
Office with active information about his entire computer
system, including its hardware and software, and any
electronic communication, or data storage devices, or media,
to include all passwords used and the name or names of any
Internet service providers.

Defendant shall also abide by the provisions of the computer restriction and monitoring program approved by the Court.

Eight, defendant shall submit his person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, business, or place of employment, to a search conducted by a probation officer at a reasonable time in a reasonable manner, based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition of probation. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation.

Defendant shall inform any other residents that, any other resident that the residence may be subject to searches.

2.2

Nine, defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

Now, normally, we would have a geographic restriction. It doesn't seem that's necessary in this case. Do you?

MR. KITCHEN: I agree with that, Your Honor.

MS. McNIVEN: Your Honor, if I may address the Court on some of the conditions of probation?

THE COURT: Let's stick with my question. I'm a little slow. So, you have to work with me; okay?

Any objection to not having a restriction on a geographic restriction?

MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, ma'am. Yes? Go ahead, please.

MS. McNIVEN: Your Honor, if I may. I don't want to put on the record who my client's employer is, but you are very well aware of it. The conditions that have recommended or Pretrial Services Office has recommended are particularly onnerous for my client in his current capacity. And I appreciate they may be standard conditions for somebody who has a conviction of this type, but given the fact that he has been working in this position, in his current position, for a certain period of time without those restrictions, and there have been no suggestions by anyone, including the FBI handlers

and the others, that he has done anything that would be problematic, let's just say, whether it's considered to be a violation of the law or even a trade secret. But I would be happy to address and explain what I mean, but my, my client's high level of access to his own employer's secrets and he is literally doing cutting edge proprietary trade secret type work.

And so, to be required to effectively open up the computers of his, his employer for the purpose of inspection by a third party, would be, I'm sure, unacceptable to his employer and very problematic for him to continue to do his good work. I would just mention that he is a crucial person in an important software and cyber security place. And, too, that would be to their detriment, as well. I don't think, and I respectfully appreciate the imposition of those conditions, but I think that that would be onnerous, particularly onnerous here. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The restrictions are relating to his own personal computer and data storage devices. There is no such limitation relating to employment, other than notification. So, I think if you go to read the provisions, and you'll see the breakdown between his own personal computer, and cell phones, and data storage devices, versus the employer. The only requirement on the employer is one of notification.

If, however, as this plays out, if the government

and the defendant find that this is causing a difficulty, then you can file a joint motion and I'll consider it at that time. But I think you need to see the breakdown between restrictions relating to the personal computers versus employment.

MS. McNIVEN: Respectfully, Your Honor, given the fact of how he works in Silicon Valley, he will be bringing his work laptop home. The fact that they'll have access via search to that will, effectively, open that up. And I appreciate, Your Honor, there is a delineation, but in this case there won't be, effectively. He will not be able to perform his job if he can't bring that home and do that work and be accepted in those ways, because he is on call 24/7 for cyber events.

THE COURT: You're telling me that information from his employer is going on his personal computer?

MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor. I'm saying his, his office work and his office computer will be taken with him to his home. Your Honor has essentially imposed the obligation that he must allow searches of everything within his home, including every computer there.

My point is that that would, that would give access and be impossible for his employer to accept and for him to accept. He cannot, essentially, be in this position to open up his employer to that kind of access by a third party. I appreciate that Your Honor would like notice, but that will,

effectively, he will never work in cyber security again with that. It would end a very talented man's career.

THE COURT: These are the conditions. No one mentioned any problem with these standard conditions until now. So, these are the conditions that are in place. If the government and the defense counsel wish to sit down and work something out that's acceptable to them, then you can file a motion to modify the conditions.

MS. McNIVEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right?

2.2

MR. KITCHEN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The time to bring this to my attention, if you want to deal with those issues, then you need to have a discussion with the probation officer before we get this far in the process. But are you going to be able to -- when is your flight back out of here?

MS. McNIVEN: Your Honor, I will meet and confer with the government right now. I have a flight at three o'clock, but I can delay that if need be. I certainly, this is very important to me and my client. We were not aware of these standard conditions. I apologize, Your Honor. I don't practice regularly in this district, but I was not informed by local counsel that that was, in fact, the practice in this case and I apologize. I certainly would have addressed it in writing beforehand.

THE COURT: Certainly. These are, I believe, the same conditions that were placed upon other defendants in this case. So, there's nothing unique that we're doing to the defendant that didn't occur to the other defendants in this case. If you want to loop in the probation officer on this, that's up to you. This will be monitored in the San Francisco area; correct?

MS. McNIVEN: Yes. Northern District of California, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you would like to talk about today?

MR. KITCHEN: Not from the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I can't remember whether I handled the mandatory special assessments, but I'll do that.

The Court also imposes a mandatory special assessment of a hundred dollars, which constitutes a hundred dollar special assessment at each count the defendant has pled guilty to which shall be paid to the Clerk of Court forthwith. Based upon the financial information contained in the presentence investigation report, the Court finds defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine. Therefore, waives imposition of any fine.

Sir, the reason for your sentence is as follows.

The Court considers the sentence of twenty-four months'

probation to be sufficient, but no greater than necessary to

2.2

comply with the goals of sentencing as set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a)(2), which are to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, and provide for just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes by this defendant, and to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment, in the most effective manner.

The Court has considered all the sentencing factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), including those presented by the government and defense and as set forth in the presentence investigation report and addendum thereto.

The Court has also considered the following. First, the nature and circumstances of the offense. For several years, the defendant was involved in development of malware, a computer program used to infect computers at a rapid rate by spreading through the computer user's social networking sites. Once the computer was infected, the malware was programmed by defendant to automatically communicate and receive direction from the servers controlled by the defendant over the Internet without knowledge of the infected computers' owners.

The servers used by defendant also were hacked and were being used by defendant without knowledge of their

2.2

legitimate owners. This combination of the hacked servers and malware infected computers formed what is known as a botnet.

Defendant sold access to the botnet to those who sought to send out high volumes of spam e-mails for their own commercial gain. At the time defendant's computer was searched by law enforcement, his botnet included more than seventy-seven thousand infected computers.

Second, the Court has also considered defendant's criminal, family, and social history.

He was born in Illinois and was raised as the only child of a middle-class family. His father owns and operates a software development business. His mother is a middle school teacher. Defendant reported his mother suffered from alcoholism, but that he now enjoys a good relationship with his parents who are supportive of him. He has never married, has no children.

Defendant has no prior criminal history. Since being charged in this case, he was arrested for a DUI in 2013 and arrested for public intoxication in 2015. In both instances, the charges were dismissed.

Third, the Court has considered defendant's personal characteristics. He earned a degree in software engineering from California Polytech State University. He's also been accepted into a cyber security graduate program at Stanford University. He's been employed as a full-time software

engineer since mid-2015. Prior to that, he was employed part-time in a different company.

2.2

Defendant volunteers with the Humane Society, is active with his church, and a member of the Toastmaster's Group and Lion's Club International.

Defendant has issues as to alcohol since age nineteen and has occasionally used marijuana. Defendant has completed a drug and alcohol abuse class at Cal Poly. He also attends Alcoholic Anonymous meetings. Has completed a Court-ordered DUI program subsequent to his 2013 arrest. I've provided for this issue in my conditions of release.

Defendant is also engaged in a mental health counseling with a licensed psychologist. And I've also provided for mental health evaluation in that regard.

Otherwise, defendant is in good physical health.

Fourth, the Court has considered the kinds of sentences available for this offense and the Sentencing Guideline range under the advisory Guidelines and applicable policy statements adopted by the Sentencing Commission.

And then, lastly, the Court has considered the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar crimes. As to the other defendants in this matter, a sentence for this defendant is such that it does avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities when considered as to the other

defendants, in light of their records and in light of their conduct.

On behalf the government, does my statement of reasons adequately address all objections, concerns, and issues raised?

MR. KITCHEN: It does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Agree, ma'am?

MS. McNIVEN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there any other sentencing factors under Section 3553(a) the Court has failed to address?

MR. KITCHEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ma'am?

2.2

MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to speak about your right to appeal, sir. Except as otherwise waived in the plea agreement, you have the right to appeal from the orders of this Court, the judgment of guilty, and/or from the sentence imposed. You have the right to have a lawyer represent you on appeal at no cost to you. If you cannot afford them, certified copies of the necessary records and transcripts will be furnished at the expense of the United States government. If you appeal, the notice of appeal must be filed within fourteen days of today. Otherwise, you will lose your right to appeal.

I'd appreciate if you would talk to your counsel in

1 a few moments and tell me whether or not you want the Clerk of 2 Court to prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf. So, if you will chat with counsel, please? 3 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.) 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Not at this time, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that if you 7 decide to appeal, you must do it within fourteen days of today? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: And if you do appeal, you'll use your 11 current counsel? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Anything else, on behalf the government? 13 14 MR. KITCHEN: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Defendant? 16 MS. McNIVEN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: So, you all need to work with the 18 Probation Office if you want some modifications of these 19 conditions. And if you can't do it before your flight, then 20 I'm sure you can do it by e-mail and work through it. But 21 these are the standard conditions and they're the same 2.2 conditions, quite frankly, that have been placed on the other 23 defendants, even though their particular work situation might 24 be different today than defendant's.

I wish you well, sir. And I thank the family

25

members and others that were here today. Thank you for coming down and supporting him. Be wise, sir. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. MS. KRINGS: All rise. Court is adjourned. (Whereupon, Court was adjourned on the first day of November, 2017.) CERTIFICATE I certify by my original signature herein that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Sandra Wenger Goffe, FCRR, RMR Official Court Reporter DATED: November 1, 2017 *****NOT CERTIFIED WITHOUT ORIGINAL SIGNATURE****